



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
-----------------	-------------	----------------------	---------------------	------------------

10/555,666

11/04/2005

Sandra-Agata Borcic

9912

29177 7590 08/13/2008
BELL, BOYD & LLOYD, LLP
P.O. BOX 1135
CHICAGO, IL 60690

EXAMINER

AFSHAR, KAMRAN

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

2617

MAIL DATE

DELIVERY MODE

08/13/2008

PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary	Application No. 10/555,666	Applicant(s) BORCIC ET AL.	
	Examiner KAMRAN AFSHAR	Art Unit 2617	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 04 November 2005.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-5 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-5 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☒ The drawing(s) filed on 04 November 2005 is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☒ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☒ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☒ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 3. ☒ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- | | |
|--|---|
| 1) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413) |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____ |
| 3) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application |
| Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>04/03/2006</u> . | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____ |

DETAILED ACTION

Drawings

1. The drawings are objected to under 37 CFR 1.83(a). The drawings must show every feature of the invention specified in the claims. Therefore, the **“the intermediate storage of the data packets is initiated independently of whether the subscriber is to be monitored”, “buffered data packets are deleted if the mobile subscriber is not to be monitored”** must be shown or the feature(s) canceled from the claim(s). No new matter should be entered.

Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. The figure or figure number of an amended drawing should not be labeled as “amended.” If a drawing figure is to be canceled, the appropriate figure must be removed from the replacement sheet, and where necessary, the remaining figures must be renumbered and appropriate changes made to the brief description of the several views of the drawings for consistency. Additional replacement sheets may be necessary to show the renumbering of the remaining figures. Each drawing sheet submitted after the filing date of an application must be labeled in the top margin as either “Replacement Sheet” or “New Sheet” pursuant to 37 CFR 1.121(d). If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

2. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

3. Claim 4 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 4 recites word(s) **“moboile subscriber data”** renders the claim(s) indefinite because the claim 4 is not clear as to what is positively claimed.

Claim Objections

4. Claim 4 is objected to because of the following informalities:

Claim 4 recites word(s) **“moboile”** which should be changed to **“mobile”**.

Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

5. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

The changes made to 35 U.S.C. 102(e) by the American Inventors Protection Act of 1999 (AIPA) and the Intellectual Property and High Technology Technical Amendments Act of 2002 do not apply when the reference is a U.S. patent resulting

Art Unit: 2617

directly or indirectly from an international application filed before November 29, 2000.

Therefore, the prior art date of the reference is determined under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) prior to the amendment by the AIPA (pre-AIPA 35 U.S.C. 102(e)).

6. Claims 1-3, and 5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Serna (U.S. Pub. No.; 2006/017872 A1).

With respect to claims 1, 5, Serna teaches a network node (See Serna e.g. network device, Page 3, ¶ [0041]) or a method for intermediate storage of data packets (See Serna e.g. data storage device, Page 4, Lines 3-5 of ¶ [0058]) during a relocation of a mobile subscriber within a communication network (mobile 16, communication network of Fig. 1, routing method, data network, radio data network, Page 1, ¶ [0001], mobile station, 3-G communication network, ¶ [0005], comprising: once a data transmission path has moved (See Serna e.g. MS moving (or handover) from a first cell toward a second cell, communicate with two or more node B nodes, Page 2, ¶ [0030]) from a switching network node originally responsible for the mobile subscriber (See Serna e.g. as defined SGSN 1 of Fig. 2) to a switching network node which is to become responsible for the mobile subscriber (See Serna e.g. as defined: SGSN 2 of Fig. 2), in a previous switching network node until the subscriber data provided for a new data transmission path (See Serna e.g. as defined: new SGSN 22, Page 6, ¶ [0084]) is located in the last switching network node (See Serna e.g. as defined: change path between first data network and second network, Page 3, ¶ [0041], [0043], MS 16, BSC / RNC 24, BSC / RNC 26, SGSN1-20, SGSN2-22 MSC1 of Figs. 1-2).

Regarding claim 2, Serna teaches the intermediate storage of the data packets is initiated independently of whether the subscriber is to be monitored (See Serna e.g. without involving the core network, Page 2, Lines 8-9 of ¶ [0030], Relocation may or may not involve handover, Page 2, Lines 1-2 of ¶ [0031]).

Regarding claim 3, Serna teaches for the intermediate storage of the data packets (See Serna e.g. data storage device, Page 4, Lines 3-5 of ¶ [0058]), trigger points are introduced with aid of messages including at least one of a "Forward Relocation Request", "Relocation Request Acknowledge" or "Relocation Detect" (See Serna trigger points e.g. Steps 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 14 of Fig. 2).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

7. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

8. Claim 4 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Serna (U.S. Pub. No.; 2006/017872 A1) in view of Eriksson (U.S. Pub. No.: 2006/0133315 A1).

Regarding claim 4, Serna teaches everything as discussed above in the rejected claim 1, and 5. However, Serna does not teach after transmission of the mobile subscriber data, buffered data packets are deleted if the mobile subscriber is not to be monitored. In an analogous field of endeavor, Eriksson teaches similar concept of storing and or buffering data in a network node or device (See Eriksson e.g. data transmitted, stored in the core network, Page 1, ¶ [0015]) and a similar network communication network (See Page 2, ¶ [0025] and Fig. Further, Eriksson teaches the concept of after transmission of the mobile subscriber data, buffered data packets are deleted if the mobile subscriber is not to be monitored (See Eriksson e.g. Buffers 28 30, 32 of Fig. 1, delete stored data, Page 4, ¶ [0059]). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to provide above teaching of Eriksson to Serna to provide a system and a method allow any data, which have been discarded in an old radio access node, to be transmitted from the SGSN to a new radio access node, when a mobile station changes cells. The motivation is to ensure that data is not lost during a cell change, inter-Routing Area or inter-Network Service Entity Cell change, or during cell change in which data are deleted at a radio access node as suggested (See Eriksson, ¶ [0001] and ¶ [0010]).

Conclusion

9. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

a) Bjelland (U.S. Pub. No.: 2004/0266438 A1).

b) Haumont (U.S. Pub. No.: 2004/0243720 A1).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communication from the examiner should be directed to Kamran Afshar whose telephone number is (571) 272-7796. The examiner can be reached on Monday-Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by the telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, **Eng, George** can be reached @ (571) 272-7495. The fax number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is **571-273-8300** for all communications.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

/Kamran Afshar/

Examiner, Art Unit 2617